



**Central Milton Keynes Town Council
 Planning Meeting held on 6th February 2014
 At Centrecom, 602 North Row, Central Milton Keynes**

Present: Andrew Thomas (Chair)
 Charles Ashbury
 Ken Baker
 Linda Inoki
 Jon Muncaster (Advisor)
 Paul Cranfield (Clerk)

	Notes	Actions
1	<p>Welcome & Apologies. The Chair welcomed those present to the meeting.</p>	
2	<p>Planning Applications The Planning Committee considered the planning applications as previously circulated and agreed the following:-</p> <p>Installation of electric vehicle rapid charge units- Councillors Ashbury and Baker to investigate these and indicate whether there are any concerns which should be raised in respect of future installations.</p> <p>Change of use from offices to residential at Seckloe House- Councillor Baker to provide a list of bullet points by Monday 10 February reflecting concerns in respect of this development for consideration by the Planning Committee.</p> <p>Wagama, change of use from walkway to external dining area- Jon Muncaster to look at the application and report back to the Chair.</p>	
3	<p>Campbell Park /Newlands Brief The Chair noted that Jon Muncaster had undertaken a significant amount of research in respect of the brief.</p> <p>Concerns were expressed regarding the development of the marina being located on the Newlands side of the canal. It was felt that the natural place for a marina is H4, which has a better relationship with the Grand Union, the levels are correct etc.</p> <p>If the development is focussed on Newlands it will require a high density build to achieve viability. Solar access was accepted as a benefit for Newlands.</p> <p>The halfway house proposal was not felt to be satisfactory. The justification on the size of the marina was debated.</p> <p>It was agreed that the brief should focus on the challenges of levels in respect of Childs Way, and the conflict of the lie of Green Lane and the H6.</p>	

	<p>It was noted that the Parks Trust has given no commitment to its land being used as part of the scheme, but was prepared to consider peripheral land if this was seen as enhancing the scheme.</p> <p>It was suggested that the scheme might work better if reversed, with with a significant element of the scheme being on the Newlands side. The height of the proposed development was also consider an issue, and deemed to be more workable if the development is focussed on the Newlands side.</p> <p>It was agreed that a road bridge would be needed, but it was unclear how this would work, because large level differences along the line of Avebury Boulevard, projecting across the canal, appeared to rule out this option. Hence a more natural road crossing along the alignment of Silbury Boulevard should be explored as a feasible alternative.</p> <p>Public transport for H6 was not felt to be good, contrary to the brief.</p> <p>It was agreed that Councillor Ashbury compile a paper on transport issues related to the scheme, including reference to prospective bus stop locations. The Clerk was requested to include a full note of the meeting in the minutes, to enable the brief response compiled by Jon Muncaster and Councillor Thomas to be finalised accordingly.</p>	PC
4	<p>Wyevale There were no written proposals with regard to the response on the Wyevale presentation, and as such the Planning Committee did not reach a consensus on a proposed response. This item to be carried forward for consideration in the full Town Council meeting.</p>	
5	<p>Any Other Business None</p>	
	<p>The meeting closed at 5.58pm</p> <p>Date of next formal meeting to be determined</p>	